

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/023,232	MONOSOV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO. (3) _____.

(2) Kate Murashige, Appl Rep.. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 11, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


The examiner called to indicate the claims need to be amended to comply with the formality requirement and to reflect the corrections to the original issued claims.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)